metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Shu-Hua Zhang,^a* Yi-Min Jiang,^b Zheng Liu^a and Kai-Bei Yu^c

^aKey Laboratory of Non-ferrous Metal Materials and New Processing Technology, Ministry for Education, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin, Guangxi 541004, People's Republic of China, ^bCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, Guangxi 541004, People's Republic of China, and ^cAnalysis and Test Centre, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zsh720108@21cn.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 296 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.009 Å R factor = 0.044 wR factor = 0.093 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Aqua{2-[(*E*)-(3,5-dibromo-2-oxidophenyl)methyleneamino]ethanesulfonato- $\kappa^{3}O$,*N*,*O*'}-(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^{2}N$,*N*')nickel(II) ethanol solvate

The title compound, $[Ni(C_9H_9Br_2NO_4S)(C_8H_8N_2)(H_2O)]$ -C₂H₅OH, was synthesized in a water–ethanol solution. In the structure, the Ni atom is six-coordinated by three ligands to form the neutral complex, in which the Ni atom has a distorted octahedral coordination geometry. Molecules form dimers through O–H···O hydrogen bonds. Received 20 January 2005 Accepted 27 January 2005 Online 5 February 2005

Comment

Sulfur- and amino-acid-containing Schiff base complexes have been studied for many years (Casella & Gullotti, 1981, 1986; Wang et al., 1994; Jiang et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2003) and have aroused increasing interest because of their antiviral, anticancer and antibacterial activities. Taurine, an amino acid containing sulfur, is indispensable to humans and has important physiological functions. Recently, Schiff base complexes derived from taurine have been reported (Zhang et al., 2003, 2004; Jiang et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2004). We report here the synthesis and crystal structure of a new nickel(II) complex, (I), prepared by the reaction of Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O, and the sodium salt of the Schiff base ligand 2 - [(E) - (3.5 - dibromo - 2 - hydroxy - 2 - hydroxyphenyl)methyleneamino]ethanesulfonic acid, which was derived in turn by the reaction of taurine with 3,5-dibromosalicylaldehyde and 1,10-phenanthroline (phen).



The title complex is isostructural with $[Zn(C_9H_9Br_2NO_4S)-(C_8H_8N_2)(H_2O)]\cdot C_2H_5OH$, for which we have already determined the structure (Zhang *et al.*, 2005). As shown in Fig. 1, the molecule of (I) is mononuclear. The Ni atom is coordinated by one N and two O atoms of the tridentate ligand (*L*), two N atoms of the bidentate ligand (phen) and one O atom of the coordinated water, forming a slightly distorted octahedral geometry, with atoms O1 and O2 of *L* in axial positions. The sum of the equatorial coordination angles (see Table 1) is close

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

to 360° (359.58°), and the bond angles involving the Ni atom are similar to values observed in nickel complexes of a salicylaldehyde Schiff base and are in good agreement with those in other taurine-containing complexes. The Ni1–O1 bond length is shorter than Ni1–N1, indicating that the hydroxyl O atom has a stronger coordination ability than the imine N atom, while sulfonate atom O2 has stronger coordination ability than water atom O5, indicating that the coordination ability of the sulfonate has been reinforced by chemical modification (Cai *et al.*, 2001).

Molecules related by twofold axes form dimers through O– $H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonding (see Table 2 and Fig. 2). These dimers are, in turn, linked by weaker C– $H \cdots O$ interactions (which are not discussed in detail here) into a three-dimensional network.

Experimental

The sodium salt of the Schiff base ligand 2-[(*E*)-(3,5-dibromo-2-hydroxyphenyl)methyleneamino]ethanesulfonic acid was synthesized as reported previously (Xu *et al.*, 2004). The ligand (2.0 mmol) was dissolved in aqueous ethanol (25 ml). To this solution, Ni(OAc)₂·4H₂O (2.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred under reflux at 333 K for 6 h. Phen (2.0 mmol) was added and the reaction continued for a further 2 h. After cooling to room temperature and filtration, the filtrate was left to stand at room temperature. Blue crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained in a yield of 61.5%. Analysis found (%): C 40.18, H 3.34, N 6.07, S 4.63, Ni 8.29; C₂₃H₂₃Br₂NiN₃O₆S requires (%): C 40.15, H 3.37, N 6.10, S 4.66, Ni 8.27; IR (KBr, ν cm⁻¹): 1033.1, 1038.4, 1149.5, 1185.4 (-SO₃), 1624.5 (ν C=N), 1604.5, 1521.6 (ν C=N + C=C), 3419.2 (ν O-H).

Crystal data

$[Ni(C_9H_9Br_2NO_4S)(C_8H_8N_2)-$	$D_x = 1.738 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$(H_2O)]\cdot C_2H_6O$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 688.03$	Cell parameters from 33
Monoclinic, C2/c	reflections
a = 18.725 (4) Å	$\theta = 2.8 14.1^{\circ}$
b = 19.623 (4) Å	$\mu = 3.90 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 15.647 (3) Å	T = 296 (2) K
$\beta = 113.82 (1)^{\circ}$	Block, blue
$V = 5259.6 (19) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.54 \times 0.38 \times 0.36$ mm
Z = 8	

Data collection

Siemens P4 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.165$, $T_{max} = 0.246$ 5341 measured reflections 4776 independent reflections 2204 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$ $wR(F^2) = 0.093$ S = 0.804776 reflections 340 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\begin{aligned} R_{\text{int}} &= 0.032\\ \theta_{\text{max}} &= 25.3^{\circ}\\ h &= 0 \rightarrow 22\\ k &= 0 \rightarrow 23\\ l &= -18 \rightarrow 17\\ \text{3 standard reflections}\\ \text{every 97 reflections}\\ \text{intensity decay: } 1.1\% \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{split} w &= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0406P)^2] \\ \text{where } P &= (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} &= 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} &= 0.49 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} &= -0.62 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ \text{Extinction correction: } SHELXL97 \\ \text{Extinction coefficient: } 0.00032 (4) \end{split}$$



Figure 1

View of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.



Figure 2

Packing diagram (Spek, 2003) of the title complex, showing the hydrogen bonds as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonds have been omitted.

Table 1 Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Ni-O1	2.007 (3)	S-O2	1.473 (3)
Ni-N1	2.058 (4)	N1-C7	1.271 (6)
Ni-O5	2.070 (4)	N1-C8	1.465 (6)
Ni-O2	2.096 (3)	N2-C10	1.319 (6)
Ni-N3	2.104 (4)	N2-C21	1.366 (6)
Ni-N2	2.109 (4)	N3-C19	1.327 (6)
S-O4	1.438 (4)	N3-C20	1.356 (6)
S-O3	1.447 (4)		
O1-Ni-N1	90.79 (16)	O5-Ni-N3	90.99 (18)
O1-Ni-O5	90.08 (16)	O2-Ni-N3	83.35 (14)
N1-Ni-O5	94.54 (17)	O1-Ni-N2	95.51 (15)
O1-Ni-O2	175.06 (14)	N1-Ni-N2	94.85 (17)
N1-Ni-O2	92.40 (15)	O5-Ni-N2	168.99 (17)
O5-Ni-O2	85.90 (16)	O2-Ni-N2	87.98 (14)
O1-Ni-N3	93.85 (15)	N3-Ni-N2	79.20 (18)
N1-Ni-N3	172.77 (18)		

Table 2Hydrogen-bon	ding geometry (Å, °).	
$D = H \cdots A$	<i>D</i> _Н	$H \cdots A$	D

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} O5-H5A\cdots O6\\ O5-H5B\cdots O1^{i}\\ O6-H6O\cdots O3 \end{array}$	0.82 (7)	1.99 (6)	2.759 (7)	156 (6)
	0.81 (4)	1.98 (4)	2.767 (5)	162 (5)
	0.82 (7)	2.05 (6)	2.828 (7)	157 (6)

Symmetry code: (i) $1 - x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z$.

The H atoms on O5 and O6 were located in a difference Fourier map and their positions and isotropic displacement parameters were refined, with the O–H distances constrained in the range 0.816–0.820 Å. All other H atoms were positioned geometrically and were treated as riding atoms and refined isotropically, with C–H distances of 0.93–0.97 Å and $U_{\rm iso}(\rm H) = 1.2U_{eq}(\rm C)$.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: SHELXTL (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Guangxi Chuang Autonomous Region of the People's Republic of China (grant No. 0339034), the Science Research Foundation of Guangxi Universities and the Minister of Education Foundation of the Guangxi Chuang Autonomous Region of the People's Republic of China (grant No. [2004]20).

References

- Cai, J. W., Chen, C. H., Yao, J. H., Hu, X.-P. & Chen, X. M. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1137–1142.
- Casella, L. & Gullotti, M. (1981). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 6338-6347.
- Casella, L. & Gullotti, M. (1986). Inorg. Chem. 25, 1293-1303.
- Jiang, Y. M., Zeng, J. L. & Yu, K. B. (2004). Acta Cryst. C60, m543-m545.
- Jiang, Y. M., Zhang, S. H., Xu, Q. & Xiao, Y. (2003). Acta Chim. Sin. 61, 573– 577.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS (Version 2.10b) and SHELXTL (Version 5.10). Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Wang, Z., Wu, Z., Yen, Z., Le, Z., Zhu, X. & Huang, Q. (1994). Synth. React. Inorg. Met. Org. Chem. 24, 1453–1460.
- Xu, H. F., Zhang, S. H., Jiang, Y. M., Zhong, X. X. & Gao, F. (2004). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 23, 708–711.
- Zhang, S. H., Jiang, Y. M., Xiao, Y. & Zhou, Z. Y. (2003). *Chin. J. Inorg. Chem.* **19**, 517–520.
- Zhang, S.-H., Jiang, Y.-M. & Yu, K.-B. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m209-m211.
- Zhang, S. H., Jiang, Y. M. & Zhou, Z. Y. (2004). Chin. J. Chem. 22, 1303–1307.